

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
<b>1. General:</b>			
a. Wetlands: Mapping from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Water Surface Data Viewer website shows wetland indicators exist on the property. The applicant will need to conduct a wetland investigation to determine if wetlands exist. Wetlands cannot be impacted unless approved by DNR and US Army Corps of Engineers.	01/28/21 - AES to provide Wetlands report to Village	Cole Clayton	2/8/2021
b. Floodplain: The effective FEMA floodplain map shows the property is located outside of a regulatory floodplain.	No action	N/A	N/A
c. Previous Development: During our site visit it was noted there are several buildings on the site that appeared to be constructed at different times. Periodic air photos viewed on Waukesha County's GIS taken since 1941 confirm the assumption of phased development of the campus. The photos would also suggest some demolition has also occurred. We do not have records of previous demolition on the site. However, during our site visit we also observed a dump site located in the trees in the southwest part of the existing lot. Therefore, we recommend a Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) be conducted by the applicant. During our meeting after the site visit we discussed that a Phase 1 ESA was in progress. A copy of the final report will need to be provided to the Village.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide Phase I to Village	Ajay Singh	3/29/2021
d. Permits and Approvals: A copy of all permits and approvals obtained from regulatory agencies having jurisdiction over the improvements need to be provided to the Village.	01/28/21 - No action until permits and approvals complete.	N/A	N/A
e. We recommend the Village enter into a development agreement with the applicant. The approved developer agreement should run with the land for each parcel.	01/28/21 - No action at this time. Development agreement will be developed pursuant to Village approvals	N/A	N/A
f. It is known that historical/cultural artifacts have been found in an area near the project site. In addition, a note on the development plan indicates burial graves exist in the northwest corner of CSM Lot 4 where future development is proposed. Because of the previous historical finds in the vicinity of the project area and because of the existing burial grounds, we recommend the applicant conduct an archaeological investigation of the site.	01/28/21 - Mandel Group to provide letter indicating intent to move five graves from northwest corner of Lot 4 to other part of School Sisters grave site. Mandel Group to provide archaeological assessment to Village. 04/08/21 - Archaeological report provided to Village.	Phillip Aiello	4/8/2021
g. We recommend the applicant contact the State Historical Society to determine what restrictions may apply to this development because the complex is listed on the National Register of Historic Places.	01/28/21 - Mandel Group to contact WHS to obtain letter indicating our ability to demolish buildings. 04/08/21 - Mandel Group has reached out to the Wisconsin Historical Society to obtain the letter but has not received it yet.	Phillip Aiello	
h. The petition to amend the Comprehensive Plan was not included in our review.	No action	N/A	N/A
i. The petition to rezone the land and create a planned development overlay was not included in our review.	No action	N/A	N/A
j. The site includes multiple existing easements that appear to conflict with proposed development. The applicant will need to indicate how these conflicts will be resolved.	01/28/21 - Mandel Group to provide summary on how easement conflicts will be resolved. 04/08/21 - Summary of resolutions provided to Village.	Phillip Aiello	4/8/2021
k. A Plat of Survey needs to be provided for review.	01/28/21 - KSingh to resubmit Plat of Survey.	Ajay Singh	1/28/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
l. An existing conditions plan needs to be provided. The plan needs to be prepared at a scale that will avoid notes and callouts from overlapping with featured information and also allow proper review of details for existing at grade and underground facilities.	01/28/21 - KSingh to resubmit existing conditions plan. 03/26/2021 - Demo plan delivered	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
m. Utility plans need to be submitted for review. We recommend a separate plan be prepared for the sanitary sewer, water distribution and storm sewer systems. We also recommend the plans be prepared at a scale that will avoid notes and callouts from overlapping with featured information and also allow proper review of utility details.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide smaller-scale drawings for clarity. 03/26/2021 - Utility plan delivered	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
n. The redevelopment plan drawings show the conceptual future single-family home lot layout for Lot 1 of the CSM. The redevelopment plans need to also show the conceptual future single-family home lot layout for Lot 4 of the CSM.	01/28/21 - No layouts needed at this time. The zoning documents should cap the maximum number of single-family homes on Red Barn Lane to 11 and the South Campus to 15.	N/A	N/A
o. Building Height:			
i. Section 335-21.E.1 for the requested RM-1 zoning on CSM Lot 2 allows principal structure height to be as much as 36 feet above the surrounding grade (up to 41 feet if the building is actually set back at least 10 feet more than the minimum).	01/28/21 - Village Staff to provide to Village Engineer the height diagram from Village Board presentation.	Tom Harrigan	1/28/2021
ii. Section 335-21.E.1 for the requested RM-1 zoning also allows principal structure height to be as much as 46 feet above the surrounding grade for building faces with exposed foundations (up to 51 feet if the building is actually set back at least 10 feet more than the minimum).	01/28/21 - Village Staff to provide to Village Engineer the height diagram from Village Board presentation.	Tom Harrigan	1/28/2021
iii. Several of the building heights shown on the proposed building elevation drawings exceed the requirements of Section 335-21.E.1 of the Village Code.	01/28/21 - Village Staff to provide to Village Engineer the height diagram from Village Board presentation.	Tom Harrigan	1/28/2021
iv. The tallest proposed building height measured to the peak of the roof shown on the proposed building elevation drawings is 53'-6".	01/28/21 - Village Staff to provide to Village Engineer the height diagram from Village Board presentation.	Tom Harrigan	1/28/2021
v. The architectural drawings show the existing Notre Dame Hall has a height of 91'-1" above grade.	01/28/21 - Village Staff to provide to Village Engineer the height diagram from Village Board presentation.	Tom Harrigan	1/28/2021
p. Construction access:			
i. Construction access is shown to be provided to the site from Watertown Plank Road. A note needs to be added to multiple drawings indicating the location of the approved construction access along Watertown Plank Road.	01/28/21 - KSingh to add notes to next iteration of civil drawings. 03/29/21 - need to finalize construction access 04/08/21 - Note for primary and secondary access included on erosion control drawing. Drawings submitted to Village.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
ii. A note needs to be added to multiple drawings indicating that Green Meadow Place cannot be used as a construction entrance. We recommend signs and barricades be placed at the end of Green Meadow Place to block construction traffic.	01/28/21 - KSingh to add notes to next iteration of civil drawings. 03/29/21 - May have limited access through apartment site, will eventually need access from Green Meadow. 04/08/21 - Note added to erosion control plan C110. We did not add note to other plans as the entrance is germane to the erosion control plan only. Drawings submitted to Village.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
<b>2. Conceptual CSM:</b>			
a. The CSM included with the redevelopment plan was reviewed for compliance with Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter A-E 7, Wisconsin Statute Chapter 236 and the Village of Elm Grove Chapter 305 Land Division Regulations:			
i. Only the face of the CSM was provided and reviewed. All pages of the CSM will need to be submitted for further review.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Pages added to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
ii. Per Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter A-E 7.05(4): Describe all monuments used for determining the location of the parcel and show by bearing and distance their relationship to the surveyed parcel and indicate whether such monuments were found or placed.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
iii. Per Wisconsin Statute Chapter 236.34 (1m)(c): Each sheet shall be labeled.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
iv. Per Wisconsin Statute Chapter 236.20(2): The Legend for monuments shall indicate the type, diameter, length and weight of the monuments.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
v. Per Wisconsin Statute Chapter 236.20 (2)(f): Show the exact width of all easements (existing and proposed).	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
vi. Per Wisconsin Statute Chapter 236.20 (2)(i): North needs to be referenced to a magnetic, true or other identifiable direction.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
vii. Per Wisconsin Statute Chapter 236.20 (2)(k): When a street is on a circular curve, the main chords of the right-of-way lines shall be drawn as dotted or dashed lines in their proper places.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
viii. Village of Elm Grove Chapter 305 Land Division Regulations 305-10 (3): The names and address of the owner and subdivider shall be shown.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruekert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
ix. Village of Elm Grove Chapter 305 Land Division Regulations 305-10 (8): Zoning on and adjacent to the land division needs to be shown.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
x. A tie to one of the section lines needs to be shown.	01/28/21 - Chaput Land Surveys to provide CSM once easements locations are determined. 04/08/21 - Modifications made to CSM. CSM submitted to Village.	Don Chaput	4/8/2021
<b>b. Future Development:</b>			
i. We understand CSM Lot 1 and CSM Lot 4 are proposed to be sold as undeveloped property. These lots will then be able to be further divided and developed into single family homes by a subsequent owner/developer.	No action	N/A	N/A
ii. The future single-family lots are not shown on the CSM because a CSM can only create up to four lots. Creating 5 or more parcels that are 1.5 acres or less, or 5 or more of 1.5 acres or less by successive divisions within 5 years will require a subdivision plat to be filed rather than a CSM.	01/28/21 - No action. MillerMarriott will submit a subdivision plat at appropriate time.	N/A	N/A
iii. Village approval of the currently planned development on CSM Lot 2 is predicated on the future development plan for CSM Lot 1 and CSM Lot 4. In addition, the SSND redevelopment plan for CSM Lot 2 includes elements that assume impacts from future development such as the recommendations from the traffic impact analysis, sanitary sewer service and storm water management facilities. The type and density of future development on CSM Lots 1 and 4 must be reasonably identified before the SSND redevelopment plan is approved so that the infrastructure serving the development of CSM Lots 1, 2 and 4 is properly designed and constructed. Furthermore, it will be critical for the future developer of CSM Lot 1 and CSM Lot 4 to understand the limitations assumed and approved by the Village during this current development phase and adhere to the approved future development plan so that existing and new infrastructure shared by the three lots does not need to be reappraised, redesigned and reconstructed.	01/28/21 - KSingh will develop utility plans to accommodate single-family homes. 03/26/2021 - Utility Plan delivered to Vilalge	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
iv. We recommend the applicant consider replacing the CSM with a Subdivision Plat showing the single-family lot layouts on CSM Lot 1 and CSM Lot 4 to minimize concerns with future development plans.	01/28/21 - No action. MillerMarriott will submit a subdivision plat at appropriate time.	N/A	N/A
<b>3. Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA):</b>			
A TIA was prepared for this development by Ayres Associates and dated September 23, 2020. Two updates have been prepared to the TIA. Supplement Number 2 of the TIA was received on January 12, 2021.			
a. Ayres Associates conducted traffic counts at the study intersections in May 2018 and March 2019.	01/28/21 - Ayres Associates to provide traffic counts to Village.	Ken Voigt	Complete
b. Background traffic counts conducted by Ayres Associates were projected forward to year 2028 assuming an annual growth rate of 0.5%. Projected additional traffic volumes from the full buildout of the proposed and future developments were added to the projected background traffic counts and then analyzed.	No action	N/A	N/A
c. Supplement No 2 was prepared to analyze traffic impacts from the current version of the development plan, which is less dense than originally planned.	No action	N/A	N/A
d. Supplement No 2 assumes all traffic generated by the multi-family portion of the proposed development will have one access to Watertown Plank Road.	No action	N/A	N/A

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
e. Supplement No 2 indicates the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) collected by WisDOT along Watertown Plank Road east of Crescent Drive has decreased from 11,200 vehicles per day (vpd) in 2009 to 9,100 vpd in 2018.	No action	N/A	N/A
f. Supplement No 2 analyzed the operation of 8 intersections along Watertown Plank Road from Elm Grove Road to 124th Street including the proposed SSND west driveway during peak hour traffic volumes at full build-out conditions in 2028. Results of the analysis are as follows:	No action	N/A	N/A
i. According to the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition intersections operating with a level of service (LOS) A, B, C or D are acceptable and intersections operating at LOS E or F are not acceptable.	No action	N/A	N/A
ii. The additional traffic generated by the proposed SSND development was shown to adversely impact the LOS for some movements at the intersections of 124th Street, Longwood Avenue, Blue Ridge Boulevard/Stephen Place and Elm Grove Road. None of the adverse impacts resulted in the operation of an intersection to change from the acceptable LOS A, B, C or D to an unacceptable LOS E or F.	No action	N/A	N/A
iii. Intersections modeled to operate with a LOS of "C" or better in 2028: Crescent Drive, West Driveway of SSND development and Elm Grove Road.	No action	N/A	N/A
iv. Intersections modeled to operate with a LOS of "D" or better in 2028: 124th Street, Longwood Avenue, Stephen Place/Blue Ridge Lane and Juneau Boulevard.	No action	N/A	N/A
v. Legion Drive is shown to operate with a LOS "E" or worse in 2028 with or without traffic generated by the SSND development. However, the TIA supplement shows the Legion Drive intersection can operate with a LOS D or better if the recommended modifications to existing traffic signal timing is implemented.	No action	N/A	N/A
g. The intersection of the SSND west driveway is not expected to satisfy any of the 9 traffic signal warrants in 2028.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>4. Sanitary Sewer Service Plan:</b>			
a. The sanitary sewer as-built drawings for Watertown Plank Road indicates a sanitary lateral connection was made from the existing 8-inch diameter sewer in Watertown Plank Road to the campus power house. It is unknown if the sanitary lateral serves only the power house or if it serves other existing buildings.	01/28/21 - KSingh will determine, in conjunction with the Village, if the lateral can be utilized for future development.	Ajay Singh	3/12/2021
b. A 10-inch diameter sanitary sewer crosses the open field in the southern part of the property. The sanitary sewer is located within a recorded easement according to the redevelopment submittal plan drawings. The redevelopment drawings also show a sanitary sewer of unknown size extends northerly from one of the manholes within the easement. It is assumed the northerly sewer pipe out of the existing manhole in the easement provides sanitary sewer service to existing development on the SSND campus. It is also assumed all future development on CSM Lots 1, 2 and 4 will be served by the existing 10-inch diameter sewer.	01/28/21 - KSingh will provide detailed utility plans when design is finalized. The final plans will address this issue. 03/29/2021 - Utility Plan delivered 03/26/2021	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
c. A proposed sanitary sewer service plan needs to be provided for review. The plan will need to show the location of the existing 10-inch diameter sewer and its easement relative to the proposed future development lot layout on CSM Lot 4.	01/28/21 - KSingh will provide detailed utility plans when design is finalized. The final plans will address this issue. 03/29/2021 - Utility Plan delivered 03/26/2021	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
d. New connections to the existing public sanitary sewer will need to be watertight.	No action	N/A	N/A
e. Existing lateral connections not being used in the future will need to be abandoned per Section 232-8 of the Village Code. The existing sanitary lateral will need to be severed from the main and a watertight seal placed at the main connection. The abandonment will need to be inspected and tested to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.	01/28/21 - KSingh will provide detailed utility plans when design is finalized. The final plans will address this issue. 03/29/2021 - Utility Plan delivered 03/26/2021	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
<b>5. Sanitary Sewage Flow Allocation:</b>			
a. the MMSD Cost Recovery Manual provides guidance on minimum occupancy factors to be used when developing projected sanitary sewage flows for different dwelling types and the number of bedrooms for each. We calculated projected sewage flows generated by the proposed development using the MMSD 2020 Facilities Plan Sewershed Flow Allocation Worksheet. The population growth resulting from each of the proposed dwelling units broken down by bedroom counts multiplied by the minimum occupancy factors were used in the worksheet.	No action	N/A	N/A
b. The SSND site is located within the EG3007 Sewershed. According to previous correspondence with MMSD staff in 2019, the 2020 Facilities Plan shows the allowable growth in the EG3007 Sewershed to be 323,000 gallons of sewage per day (gpd).	No action	N/A	N/A
c. Other developments we are aware of that have utilized some of the available growth within the EG3007 Sewershed since the 2020 Facilities Plan was finalized includes the Heritage Assisted Living facility at 800 Wall Street and Elm Grove Heights apartments at 13040 Bluemound Road. We ask the Village to let us know if any other new developments should be included in this list. According to our calculations, these two facilities have utilized approximately 89,341 of the 323,000 gpd available growth flow leaving approximately 233,659 gpd available for the SSND and other future developments.	No action	N/A	N/A
d. The proposed SSND development plan calls for 240 apartment units and 26 single family home dwellings. The architectural plan drawings show at least 38 one-bedroom units, 134 two-bedroom units and 30 3-bedroom units (Total = 202 Units). The bedroom count for the remaining 38 units is unknown. The redevelopment review request letter from Mandel Group dated December 1, 2020 provides anticipated occupancy factors for the apartment and single-family dwelling units on page 8. However, our calculations will use the occupancy factors in the MMSD Cost Recovery Manual as noted above. According to our calculations, the anticipated sewage flow generated by the proposed development will be 209,816 gpd.	No action	N/A	N/A
e. The existing SSND campus includes approximately 168 one-bedroom dwelling units. The sewage flow generated by the existing development is calculated to be approximately 55,104 gpd. Therefore, the net increase in sewage flow created by the proposed development is 154,712 gpd, which is less than the calculated remaining allowable growth for the EG3007 Sewershed.	No action	N/A	N/A
f. If the SSND redevelopment plan as currently proposed is approved, then approximately 78,947 gallons of sewage per day is continues to be available for future growth within the EG3007 Sewershed.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>6. Downstream Sanitary Sewer Capacity:</b>			
a. The existing local sanitary sewer running between anticipated future development service connection point and the 42-inch diameter interceptor sewer consists of three segments of 10-inch diameter pipe having a slope of at least 0.27% with a minimum capacity of 0.74 MGD (1.14 cfs).	No action	N/A	N/A
b. The service area of the existing 10-inch diameter sewer includes 41 single family homes in addition to the SSND redevelopment plan. The calculated peak flow from the existing and proposed development is 0.346 MGD and will fill the existing local sewer to about half full. Therefore, the downstream local sewer has enough capacity to serve the proposed development.	No action	N/A	N/A
c. I am not able to properly evaluate the remaining available capacity in the 42-inch diameter interceptor sewer downstream of the 10-inch sewers without existing conditions flow data. MMSD may have flow data from its monitoring station at the downstream end of Elm Grove's interceptor sewer. We have requested the data and will continue our capacity analysis of the interceptor sewer after appropriate flow data is obtained.	01/28/21 - Village Engineer to investigate capacity of 42" interceptor and provide results of analysis.	Andy Petersen	3/12/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruekert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
<b>7. Water Service:</b>			
a. The existing development is served by an existing well. The existing well could not be located on the information provided and needs to be called out on the drawings or made more prominent.	01/28/21 - KSingh to make well more prominent on next iteration of plans. 03/29/21 - Adding well location and demo details to Demo Plan 04/08/21 - Well location identified on demolition plan. Drawings submitted to Village.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
b. The applicant has requested public water service to serve the proposed and future development. Therefore, the existing well needs to be abandoned. The well will need to be abandoned in accordance with Village Code Chapter 283 and WDNR Administrative Code NR812.	01/28/21 - KSingh to note abandonment method on demolition drawings. 03/29/21 - Adding well location and demo details to Demo Plan 04/08/21 - Well location and note regarding abandonment added to demolition plan. Drawings submitted to Village.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
c. Fire flows and pressures needed to serve the proposed development need to be provided by the applicant for review.	01/19/21 - KSingh provided fire flows and pressures to Village.	Ajay Singh	1/19/2021
d. An internal water distribution system and plan with fire hydrant locations needs to be developed and provided for review.	01/28/21 - KSingh will provide detailed utility plans when design is finalized. The final plans will address this issue. 03/29/2021 - Included on Utility Plan	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
e. Public water supply is currently available from the City of Brookfield. The Village is currently evaluating the feasibility of alternative water service from the City of Wauwatosa.	No action	N/A	N/A
f. Existing public water service from the City of Brookfield:			
i. An existing 16-Inch diameter public water main is currently extended to the intersection of Elm Grove Road and Wall Street.	No action	N/A	N/A
ii. Another existing 12-inch diameter public water main is currently extended to approximately 200 feet east of Underwood Creek on Wall Street.	No action	N/A	N/A
iii. If the existing service from the City of Brookfield is used to serve the proposed development, then we recommend public water mains be extended north along Elm Grove Road and east along Watertown Plank Road through the downtown area to the north side of CSM Lot 2. A conceptual water main extension plan following this route and a preliminary cost estimate were prepared in March 2020 and updated in October 2020. The updated preliminary estimate for this extension was \$2.3M.	No action	N/A	N/A
iv. In addition, if the existing service from the City of Brookfield is used to serve the proposed development, then we also recommend a public water main loop be extended easterly along Wall Street and northeasterly across the railroad tracks to the south side of CSM Lot 4 for improved flow, pressure and system redundancy. A conceptual water main extension plan following this route and a preliminary cost estimate were prepared in June 2015. The estimate was updated in October 2020. The updated preliminary estimate for these extensions was \$0.36M.	No action	N/A	N/A
g. Possible alternate public water service from City of Wauwatosa:			

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruekert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
i. An existing 12-inch diameter water main at the intersection of 123rd Street and Watertown Plank Road in the City of Wauwatosa may be available for use as a supply connection point for development in the Village of Elm Grove. The connection point is currently being evaluated by the City of Wauwatosa and may be moved to a location farther east that might have better supply characteristics.	No action	N/A	N/A
ii. If public water service is provided from the City of Wauwatosa, then a public water main will at least need to be extended from 123rd Street along Watertown Plank Road into the Village of Elm Grove to the north side of CSM Lot 2. A conceptual water main extension plan following the route from 123rd Street and a preliminary cost estimate were prepared in October 2020. The preliminary estimate for this extension was \$1.7M.	No action	N/A	N/A
iii. Depending on the location of the connection point in Wauwatosa that has not been finalized yet, a booster station may be needed that is not included in the October 2020 cost estimate.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>8. Site Plan (Sheet C200):</b>			
a. Label lot numbers shown on the proposed CSM.	01/28/21 - KSingh will label the site plan with the lot numbers on the next iteration of plans. Drawings submitted to Village. 03/29/21 - Need to add lot #s to plan. 04/08/21 - Lot numbers added to plan.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
b. Plan notes on the drawing reference additional site plan drawings that were not included in the submittal. These drawings will need to be submitted for review.	01/28/21 - KSingh will provide additional site plan drawings with next iteration of plans. 03/29/21 - Detail sheets currently omitted as construction docs not prepared yet.	N/A	N/A
c. We recommend a second permanent paved access be provided to the development on Lot 2 to improve access to the site by emergency vehicles.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify plan to show either paved or landscape block for the secondary entrance on the next iteration of the plans. 03/29/21 - Need to determine emergency access paving material. 04/08/21 - Secondary paved access added to C200. Drawings submitted to Village.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
d. The Site Plan needs to be reviewed by the Fire Chief to confirm that emergency vehicles can properly access the site when called.	01/28/21 - Village will obtain Fire Chief review.	Tom Harrigan	
<b>e. Parking:</b>			
i. Notes on the Site Plan indicate 495 parking spaces (76 surface spaces and 419 underground spaces) are provided for the development. According to our counts there are only 457 parking spaces (65 surface spaces and 392 underground spaces). The discrepancy needs to be resolved.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson and KSingh will number the parking spaces on the next iteration of the plans. 03/29/21 - Ajay to confirm/update and send to Tom 04/08/21 - Discrepancy resolved. There are 457 total parking spaces. Drawings submitted to Village.	Eric Ponto Ajay Singh	4/8/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruekert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
ii. Another note indicates the number of parking stalls provided per 1,000 SF of building area is 3.58. An asterisk indicates the single-family homes to the east on Lot 1 are excluded from the building data and parking data. The note needs to be revised to confirm that the single-family homes to the south on Lot 4 are also excluded since that is another development type and will not occur until a later date.	01/28/21 - KSingh to label drawing in next iteration of the plans. 03/29/21 - Ajay to remove note, not applicable for apartments 04/08/21 - Note removed as it is not applicable to apartments. Drawings submitted to Village.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
iii. Section 335-32.J of the Village Code requires multi-family dwelling developments to provide 2 parking spaces per dwelling unit. If the number of apartments being proposed is 240 units, then 480 parking spaces are required on CSM Lot 2. The redevelopment plan does not meet this requirement.	04/08/21 - Pursuant to 335-30(D)(2), "PDO Districts may, however, deviate from the requirements of the underlying zoning district with respect to ... parking requirements."	N/A	4/8/2021
<b>f. Reserved Accessible Parking Stalls:</b>			
i. Section 208 of the Americans with Disabilities Act requires each parking facility at a site provide the minimum number of accessible spaces.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson and KSingh to add accessible stalls as noted. 04/08/21 - Accessible stalls identified and noted on plans. Drawings submitted to Village.	Eric Ponto Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
ii. The Site Plan does not show any of the surface spaces to be reserved for disabled persons. Three parking spaces reserved for disabled persons needs to be added to the surface parking areas according to Table 208.2 of the Americans with Disabilities Act.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson and KSingh to add accessible stalls as noted. 04/08/21 - Accessible stalls identified and noted on plans. Drawings submitted to Village.	Eric Ponto Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
iii. The underground parking plan shows a total of 12 spaces to be reserved for disabled persons broken down as follows:			
1. Building 1: Four accessible parking spaces are provided. Table 208.2 requires at least 6 accessible parking spaces are required for this parking facility. One of those spaces needs to be van accessible.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson and KSingh to add accessible stalls as noted. 04/08/21 - There are exceptions to Table 208.2 that allow for fewer parking spaces. In addition, IBC 2015 is basis of design which requires 2% of stalls to be accessible which equates to four accessible parking spaces. At least one van-accessible space is provided.	Eric Ponto Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
2. Building 2: Six accessible parking spaces are provided. The number provided meets the minimum required by Table 208.2 for this parking facility. However, one of those spaces needs to be van accessible.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson and KSingh to add accessible stalls as noted. 04/08/21 - There are exceptions to Table 208.2 that allow for fewer parking spaces. In addition, IBC 2015 is basis of design which requires 2% of stalls to be accessible which equates to four accessible parking spaces. Six accessible spaces are provided in order to locate some accessible spots near the entrance of Notre Dame and Maria Halls. At least one van-accessible space is provided.	Eric Ponto Ajay Singh	4/8/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
3. Building 3: Two accessible parking spaces are provided. Table 208.2 requires at least 3 accessible parking spaces are required for this parking facility. One of those spaces needs to be van accessible.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson and KSingh to add accessible stalls as noted. 04/08/21 - There are exceptions to Table 208.2 that allow for fewer parking spaces. In addition, IBC 2015 is basis of design which requires 2% of stalls to be accessible which equates to two accessible parking spaces. At least one van-accessible space is provided.	Eric Ponto Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
iv. The development team should consider whether additional parking spaces exceeding the minimum requirements should be reserved for disabled persons.	01/28/21 - No action. Comment assumed development included senior living apartments. This comment can be disregarded.	N/A	N/A
g. Underground parking plan: (See additional comments below).	No action	N/A	N/A
h. Other Underground Utilities: Show proposed gas, electric and other utilities that will serve the new buildings.	01/28/21 - KSingh will provide detailed utility plans when design is finalized. The final plans will address this issue. 04/08/21 - The private utilities (gas/electric) will not be shown in this submittal. We Energies provides design after plan review is approved to avoid redesigning in the event changes are made during approvals.	N/A	N/A
i. The Site Plan does not appear to show a monument sign for the development. If a monument sign is being proposed, then the location of the sign will need to be shown and details of the sign will need to be provided for review.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson to include a monument sign in the next iteration of the plans. 03/29/21 - In process, not yet determined	Eric Ponto	
j. Impervious area calculations:			
i. The computations provided on the drawing only include impervious surfacing located within areas to be disturbed. The calculations need to be revised to follow Village Ordinances, which restrict total impervious area coverage for the entire parcel.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson to provide calculations for individual parcels. 04/08/21 - Impervious calculations provided to Village.	Eric Ponto	4/8/2021
ii. Impervious surfacing coverage calculations need to be broken down for each lot created by the CSM.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson to provide calculations for individual parcels. 04/08/21 - Impervious calculations provided to Village.	Eric Ponto	4/8/2021
iii. Additional computations need to be provided to show building footprint coverages for each lot created by the CSM under existing and proposed conditions.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson to provide calculations for individual parcels. 04/08/21 - Impervious calculations provided to Village.	Eric Ponto	4/8/2021
iv. The applicant is requesting Lot 1 to be rezoned from I-1 to RS-3. Village Code limitations on building footprint and impervious area for RS-3 zoning is as follows:	04/08/21 - Pursuant to 335-30(D)(2), "PDO Districts may, however, deviate from the requirements of the underlying zoning district with respect to ... parking requirements."	Phillip Aiello	4/8/2021
1. Section 335-19.G: maximum building footprint coverage to 20% of the lot area.	No action	Phillip Aiello	N/A
2. Section 335-19.H: maximum impervious surfacing coverage to 30% of the lot area.	No action	Phillip Aiello	N/A

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
v. The applicant is requesting Lot 2 to be rezoned from I-1 to RM-1. Village Code limitations on building footprint and impervious area for RM-1 zoning is as follows:	No action	N/A	N/A
1. Section 335-21.G: maximum building footprint coverage to 30% of the lot area.	No action	N/A	N/A
2. Section 335-21.H: maximum impervious surfacing coverage to 65% of the lot area.	No action	N/A	N/A
vi. The applicant is requesting Lot 4 to be rezoned from I-1 to RS-4. Village Code limitations on building footprint and impervious area for RS-4 zoning is as follows:			
1. Section 335-20.G: maximum building footprint coverage to 25% of the lot area.	No action	Phillip Aiello	N/A
2. Section 335-20.H: maximum impervious surfacing coverage to 40% of the lot area.	No action	Phillip Aiello	N/A
vii. For future development on Lot 1 and Lot 4, building footprint and total impervious area coverage should assume the maximum allowable so that stormwater management facilities are sized for the worst-case scenario.	01/28/21 - KSingh will assume maximum coverage in calculations. 04/08/21 - Calculations submitted to Village. These calculations assume 40% impervious area for each of the single-family lots.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
<b>9. Erosion Control Plan (Sheet C110):</b>			
a. The area of disturbance is greater than one acre. Therefore, the development may require a construction site stormwater discharge permit (NOI) from the appropriate agency having jurisdiction over the site.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
b. The tracking pad shown at the west end of Green Meadow Place needs to be deleted since it cannot be used as a construction access.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
c. The erosion control notes need to be revised to indicate who will be responsible to conduct periodic erosion control inspections and create written inspection reports. Copies of the reports need to be provided to the Village.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
d. The type of inlet protection needs to be called out on the erosion control plan.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
e. A temporary sediment trap located on the south end of the project site needs to be designed to protect the southeast basin from siltation during construction. We suggest a basin be located near the railroad right-of-way line and outside the tree drip line where the existing topography directs runoff into the southeast basin. Temporary diversion berms/swales will need to be extended northerly and westerly during construction to temporarily direct runoff to the temporary sediment trap. The westerly diversion berm and swale is similar to the swale that is proposed to connect Infiltration Basin No 1 to the southeast basin. The swale extending north from the temporary sediment basin should extend north of Green Meadow Place to effectively keep construction site runoff from leaving the site at Green Meadow Place.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruekert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
f. The proposed infiltration basin is being used as a temporary sediment trap during construction. Additional details or notes on the plan must be provided to encourage that this basin not be fully constructed or excavated to plan grades until after the tributary area is fully stabilized.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
g. We suggest diversion berms and swales be added to the erosion control plan to direct construction site runoff to sediment traps/ponds. We suggest the design engineer consider berms/swales at the following locations:			
i. To Dry Basins No 1 and No 2 which could follow the sidewalk system. Berms/swales should extend east and west to direct runoff to these facilities.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
ii. Along the rear lot line of the homes on the north side of Green Meadow Place to direct runoff either to Dry Basin No 2 or toward the sediment basin on the south end of the project recommended in previous comments.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
h. Additional language within the construction sequence should be added to require the sediment traps, basins, diversion berms and swales to be constructed and stabilized prior to mass grading of the site.	01/28/21 - This is applicable and will be coordinated by KSingh when storm water is finalized. 03/29/2021 - Erosion Control plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
<b>10. Details (Sheet C111 and Sheet C112):</b>			
a. The swale detail needs to be revised to call out the side slopes and minimum depth. If this information is not typical for all swales, then those details need to be called out for each swale on the grading plan.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/21 - These details will be added once the plan is approved by the Village Board. These are construction-drawing-level details.	N/A	N/A
b. A detail for temporary diversion berm/swales needs to be added to the drawing. In addition, notes need to be added to require the stabilization of these facilities after their construction and before mass grading begins.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/21 - These details will be added once the plan is approved by the Village Board. These are construction-drawing-level details.	N/A	N/A
<b>11. Grading Plan (Sheet C300):</b>			
a. Existing topography of the property generally slopes toward the southwest. The proposed contours appear to show surface water runoff from the site will generally follow the same pattern.	No action	N/A	N/A
b. It is difficult to review the 100-scale grading plan. Spot grade labels and contour elevation labels overlapping other details make this plan difficult to review. The grading plan needs to be revised to include one overall sheet with multiple subsequent grading plan drawings added to show portions of the site at a larger scale.	01/28/21 - KSingh will provide larger-scale drawings with the next plan iteration. 03/29/2021 - Provided on 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruekert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
c. The Grading Plan needs to be revised to show details of the accessible routes and ramps for pedestrians. The details and spot grades need to demonstrate that the routes and ramps meet ADAAG Standards.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 04/08/21 - ADA routes shown on Connected Underground Parking Plan and Overall 1st Floor Plan. Drawings submitted to Village.  Details and spot grades are not provided at this time as these are construction-drawing-level details that will be designed after the plans are approved by the Village Board.	Eric Ponto Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
d. Additional grading details including spot grades on impervious surfacing need to be provided. The grading plan must set first floor elevations and/or proposed yard grades for the future the single-family lots.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/2021 - Included in grading plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
e. We recommend the proposed contours show grading of the swales to confirm that the swales proposed on the site will fit within the available corridor space.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/2021 - Included in grading plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
f. We strongly encourage defined side yard drainage swales be designed between each of the single-family lots.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/2021 - Included in grading plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
g. Given the size of CSM Lot 2 and the nature of the development, significant consideration should be given to a phased construction approach to minimize the size of disturbed areas at a given time.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>h. Building Grades on CSM Lot 2:</b>			
i. The existing first floor elevation of Notre Dame Hall appears to be approximately 766.8. The correct elevation needs to be added to the drawings.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/2021 - Included in grading plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
ii. The existing first floor elevation of Maria Hall appears to be approximately 762.5. The correct elevation needs to be added to the drawings.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/2021 - Included in grading plan provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
iii. The proposed first floor elevation of Building 1 varies from 758.00 at the south end to 760.00 at the north end.	No action	N/A	N/A
iv. The proposed first floor elevation of Building 2 varies from 759.00 at the south end to 766.33 at the north end.	No action	N/A	N/A
v. The proposed first floor elevation of Building 3 is 757.00.	No action	N/A	N/A
vi. The first-floor elevations as currently proposed appear to be reasonable for this lot.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>12. Setbacks Exhibit:</b>			
a. The property is currently zoned as an I-1 district. The property is proposed to be split into four lots and petitioned for CSM Lots 1, 2 and 4 to be rezoned to separate underlying districts with a Planned Development Overlay (PDO) as follows:			
i. CSM Lot 1 is petitioned to be rezoned from I-1 to RS-3.	No action	N/A	N/A

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
ii. CSM Lot 2 is petitioned to be rezoned from I-1 to RM-1.	No action	N/A	N/A
iii. The plan does not request a zoning change for Lot 3, which will remain as I-1.	No action	N/A	N/A
iv. CSM Lot 4 is petitioned to be rezoned from I-1 to RS-4.	No action	N/A	N/A
b. We did not review the underlying rezoning petition.	No action	N/A	N/A
c. We did not review the PDO petition. However, Section 335-30 of the Village Code may provide some flexibility on certain zoning requirements. Approved variations to the zoning requirements will impact our review and Village approval of those elements. Therefore, in accordance with Section 335-30.G of the Village Code, the petition will need to include details of each proposed deviation from current zoning ordinance requirements including, but not limited to, building footprint coverages, total impervious area coverages, building height, lot size and building setback requirements. Flexibility for approval of each of the requested deviations is at the discretion of the Village.	No action	N/A	N/A
d. Village Code requirements for setbacks for properties zoned RS-3, RS-4 and RM-1 are as follows:			
i. Minimum building setback along street frontages: 50 feet.	No action	N/A	N/A
ii. Minimum building setback along side yards: 20 feet.	No action	N/A	N/A
iii. Minimum building setback along rear yards: 25 feet.	No action	N/A	N/A
e. Setbacks shown for the existing and proposed development on CSM Lot 2 do not meet the requirements for the requested RM-1 zoning.	No action	N/A	N/A
f. Building envelopes for each future lot proposed on CSM Lot 1 and CSM Lot 4 need to be shown with setbacks labeled.	01/28/21 - No action. Per discussion with Village, the lot lines for the single-family home parcels do not need to be shown at this time.	N/A	N/A
g. Dimensions and lot sizes for each future lot proposed on CSM Lot 1 and CSM Lot 4 need to be shown and labeled.	01/28/21 - No action. Per discussion with Village, the lot lines for the single-family home parcels do not need to be shown at this time.	N/A	N/A
i. Future lot sizes within CSM Lot 1 are currently shown to be less than the 20,000 SF minimum required by Village Code.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>13. Supplemental Site Exhibit:</b>			
a. We recommend the exhibit be converted into and renamed "Demolition Plan".	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/2021 - Included in 03/26 submission	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
b. We recommend the exhibit be revised to show and label all proposed site demolition including, but not limited to buildings, structures, surfacing, underground tunnels and underground utilities.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/2021 - Included in 03/26 submission	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
<b>14. LED Sight Lighting Plan:</b>			
a. We recommend underground/overhead wiring be shown on the lighting plan.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the drawings accordingly. 03/29/21 - These details will be designed after the Village Board approves the site plan as they are construction-drawing-level details.	N/A	N/A

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruekert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
<b>15. Connected Underground Parking Plan:</b>			
a. The underground parking plan shows 392 spaces in three interconnected underground parking facilities.	No action	N/A	N/A
b. The plan shows two locations where vehicles are allowed to enter the underground structure. Both locations are at the south end of Buildings 1 and 2. Vehicles that enter the underground structure will approach the structure at a 90-degree angle to a connecting corridor that is 29-feet wide between the parking facilities.	No action	N/A	N/A
c. The plan needs to be revised to show dimensions of parking spaces, driving aisles and entrances. The development team will need to verify and demonstrate that resident and emergency vehicles have enough room to negotiate movements within the underground parking facilities.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson will revise plans as indicated. 01/29/21 - Per conversation between Eric Ponto and Fire Chief, emergency vehicles do not need access to the underground garage. EMTs will use a gurney as they would on the upper floors. 04/08/21 - Typical dimensions are included on the Connected Undergroup Parking Plan. Drawings submitted to Village.	Eric Ponto	4/8/2021
d. The plan shows a storm sewer protruding through the corridor connecting Building 1 and Building 3. A note on the plan indicates clearance under the storm sewer to be 7 feet, which seems low. We recommend the clearance be increased. We also recommend appropriate signage indicating overhead clearance limitations be posted at the entrances of and within the underground facilities.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson to investigate height requirement with EMS. Mandel Group to investigate height requirement with EMS. 01/29/21 - Per conversation between Eric Ponto and Fire Chief, emergency vehicles do not need access to the underground garage. EMTs will use a gurney as they would on the upper floors.	Eric Ponto	1/29/2021
e. The plan needs to be revised to include a provision for dewatering the structure at the low point of the interconnected facilities, which is located under the protruding storm sewer.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson will modify the drawings accordingly. 04/08/21 - The design of the drainage system will be completed after the plan approval by the Village Trustees. It will then be submitted to the Village for review.	N/A	N/A
f. The fire chief needs to review the underground parking plan after dimensions are provided to verify emergency vehicles can properly respond to emergency calls.	01/28/21 - Engberg Anderson to investigate height requirement with EMS. Mandel Group to investigate height requirement with EMS. 01/29/21 - Per conversation between Eric Ponto and Fire Chief, emergency vehicles do not need access to the underground garage. EMTs will use a gurney as they would on the upper floors.	Eric Ponto	1/29/2021
<b>16. Preliminary Storm Water Management Plan:</b>			

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruckert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
a. Hydrology calculations will need to be provided before we can review or confirm the model inputs, routing, peak flows, elevations, volumes and assumptions described within the storm water narrative. Based upon the information supplied it appears that the storm water facility design for the site is adequately sized and located.	01/28/21 - KSingh to send hydrology calculations	Ajay Singh	1/28/2021
b. The 2-year release rate as shown in table 6 of the report does not satisfy MMSD's peak flow reduction requirement.	01/28/21 - KSingh will modify the plan accordingly. 03/29/2021 - not applicable with current plan	N/A	N/A
c. Water quality calculations will need to be provided before we can review or confirm the TSS reductions as stated in the report.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide calculations with the next iteration of the plans.	Ajay Singh	1/28/2021
d. A more detailed description for the water quality calculations needs to be provided. The revised description needs to specifically address how the water quality goals for the site were established such as the TSS reduction requirement of 43.76%.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide calculations with the next iteration of the plans.	Ajay Singh	1/28/2021
e. The report indicates the current TSS reduction of 54%, while satisfying the 43.76% TSS reduction goal as established through coordination with DNR, does not achieve the 60% TSS reduction goal the Village will soon adopt. The report goes on to say that additional design will be done to achieve the 60% TSS reduction goal.	01/28/21 - KSingh to modify plans to meet 60% TSS goal. 03/29/2021 - Currently meet 60% TSS goal	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
f. A hydraulic analysis was done for several storm sewer segments. However, hydraulic capacity calculations need to be provided for each segment for review. In addition, the plans provided do not adequately show or label existing and proposed storm sewer systems. We recommend that a separate storm sewer system map be provided.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide calculations with the next iteration of the plans. 03/29/2021 - Updated storm provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
g. Hydraulic capacity computations need to be provided for each swale.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide calculations with the next iteration of the plans.	Ajay Singh	1/28/2021
h. Storm water facility cross sections, outfall structure details, emergency spill way details, and liner details need to be provided for each facility for review.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide calculations with the next iteration of the plans. 03/29/2021 - Updated storm provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
i. Structural drawings of the underground storage systems need to be provided for review. Final copies of these drawings need to be stamped and sealed by a professional structural engineer licensed in the State of Wisconsin.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide calculations with the next iteration of the plans. 03/29/2021 - Updated storm provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
j. According to the narrative, an assumed infiltration rate of 8-inches per hour was used to evaluate the effectiveness of the infiltration ponds. The report indicates that double ring infiltrometer tests will be performed. Results of the tests need to be provided for review. The infiltration rates used in the plan need to be based upon test results and DNR guidance.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide calculations with the next iteration of the plans. 03/29/2021 - Updated storm provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
k. Additional information needs to be provided to allow a comparison of the existing flows, volumes, and peak elevations to and within the existing southeast basin versus the proposed flows, volumes and peak elevations. The comparison is needed to verify the proposed development does not impact the existing southeast basin being co-owned and used by other existing development.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide calculations with the next iteration of the plans. 03/29/2021 - Updated storm provided 03/26	Ajay Singh	3/26/2021
l. Geotechnical reports need to be provided.	01/28/21 - KSingh to resubmit the geotechnical report.	Ajay Singh	1/28/2021
m. The development plan includes more than ½ Acre of additional impervious area. Therefore, in accordance with Section 13.302(3)(c).1 of MMSD Chapter 13 rules, Green Infrastructure runoff management measures are not required. However, infiltration is proposed as part of the storm water management plan for this development even though it is not required by MMSD rules.	No action	N/A	N/A

**School Sisters of Notre Dame Redevelopment**  
**April 8, 2021**  
**Response to Ruekert-Mielke Review Letter dated January 19, 2021**

Description	Action	Responsible Party	Date Completed
n. A maintenance agreement needs to be provided for review. The agreement needs to be included as a deed restriction for each parcel.	01/28/21 - KSingh to provide information with next iteration of the plans. 03/29/21 - TBD 04/08/21 - The maintenance agreement will be developed at a later date as it heavily references the final CSM. If Ruekert and Mielke has a form of agreement from which we can start, we would appreciate them forwarding it to us for review.	N/A	N/A
<b>17. Landscape – Existing Trees (Sheet L-1):</b>			
a. No comments at this time.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>18. Landscape – Trees to Remove (Sheet L-2):</b>			
a. No comments at this time.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>19. Landscape – Trees to Remain (Sheet L-3):</b>			
a. We recommend the approved tree preservation plan be included as a deed restriction for each new parcel.	No action	N/A	N/A
<b>20. Landscape Plan (Sheet L-4):</b>			
a. We recommend keeping plantings out of the vision triangles at the intersections of driveway entrances onto public streets.	No action	N/A	N/A
b. We recommend the keeping plantings out of the vision triangles at the internal intersection of the access drives.	No action	N/A	N/A
c. Vision triangles need to be labeled on the plan.	01/28/21 - AES to modify drawings accordingly.	Cole Clayton	N/A
d. The compacted aggregate trail needs to be included in the impervious area computations shown on the Site Plan.	01/28/21 - KSingh will include the trail as part of the impervious calculation if the final design of the trail uses impervious material. 04/08/21 - Revised calculations provided to Village include the trail as impervious.	Ajay Singh	4/8/2021
<b>21. Landscape Planting Schedules (Sheet L-5):</b>			
a. No comments at this time.	No action	N/A	N/A