1. Roll call.
Meeting was called to order at 6:03 pm by Mr. Kressin

Present: Mr. Kressin, Mr. Coons, Mr. Harley and Mr. Haas,

Absent: Mr. Domaszek, Mr. Casperson

Also Present: Mr. De Angelis, Mr. Harrigan and Mr. Paul

2. Review and act on Minutes from the March 9th, 2020 Meeting
Mr. Haas moved and Mr. Harley seconded to approve the March 9th, 2020 meeting minutes. Motion carried 4-0.

3. Review and action on Indian Hills request for ROW Indemnification for additional neighborhood entrance monuments
Mr. Paul explained to the committee that the Indian Hills HOA was interested in erecting entrance monuments in the ROW and required a ROW Indemnification approval to install the new and additional monuments to their neighborhood. The committee reviewed the proposed locations and asked that Ruekert-Mielke perform a review on the locations to ensure there will be no sight obstructions or conflict with any future possible pathway designs should they arise. Mr. Paul will contact Ruekert-Mielke to perform the review.

Mr. Haas moved to approve the ROW Indemnification pending a negative impact from the review. The motion was seconded by Mr. Coons and passed unanimously 4-0.

4. Review and possible action on resident request for damages at 14810 Watertown Plank Rd
Mr. De Angelis explained to the committee that residents Karen and Ryan Bukouras who reside at 14810 Watertown Plank Rd are requesting monetary reimbursement as a result of the inconveniences and impacts (financially, emotionally and physically) which they endured while awaiting the completion of the Watertown Plank Rd Pathway Project. Negative impacts included an unusable yard for a majority of the summer and fall, times that the contractor had blocked their driveway, undue amount of carwashes required to address her dirty car, ridicule from passersby that suggested the Bukouras’s ran out of funding for their project and caused psychological distress from peers and other neighbors.

The committee reviewed the materials submitted and let the residents explain their position was for the request. Mr. Kressin stated he understood and was sorry there were issues, delays and inconvenience but the Village also sustained inconveniences and hardship from the delayed completion of the project as well. Mr. Haas felt that the improvement they received as a result was a positive outcome for the resident’s initial complaints and although there was a delayed time frame for the project, the end product puts them in a much better situation. Mr. Kressin added that if this work had been performed outside of the project that the procurement, trucking and pile leveling alone would reach well past $20,000. The fill, trucking and pile leveling/rough grading was done at no cost to the resident. The Bukouras’s felt they should at least be reimbursed for the rough grading cost since the contractor (Hawks) said it was not rough graded to their needs which was counter to that of the DPW whom performed the initial rough grading late last year before everything settled out under both wet and frozen conditions.

The committee invited the Bukouras’s to submit their itemized bill from Hawk’s Landscape breaking out the rough grading from the rest of the work to show the additional cost associated with any additional rough grading that was required. When submitted to the committee will review and discuss the merit of the cost and decide whether or not it will be reimbursable.

5. Review and discussion of Marcella Bridge
Mr. De Angelis introduced Britt Mason, resident of 2115 Underwood Pkwy whom presented questions and concerns about the impact of the new bridge on North Ave and how it would impact the downstream neighborhood as well as the condition and impact Marcella Bridge would have on additional flooding. Terry Tavera of Ruekert-Mielke was present to explain the hydraulics and function of a flood event. Mr., Tavera, in a letter, and at the meeting explained that the North Ave bridge would not change the impact of the volume of water that would flow through and nor would it change the elevations/condition of the Marcella Bridge further impacting the property owners along Underwood Pkwy based on the hydraulic modeling. Mr. Mason inquired
about the size and blockage of material in front of the pipes at Marcella Bridge and how they would impact a flood event and suggested that the larger a pipe the cleaner the inlet would be to move the water. Mr. Tavera stated he modeled both the actual size and a reduced size of based on the blockage and it was conclude the smaller pipe would actually slightly reduce the floodplain, which did seem counter intuitive but was due to velocity and head pressure. He also noted that in all .01 occurrence events overtopping of the Marcella Bridge does occur.

Mr. Mason asked about debris blockage from events as he has always seen the DPW routinely clean the inlet side of Marcella Bridge. Mr. Paul agreed that the DPW does routinely check and clear the inlet of the bridge both before and after large rain events and added that the area adjacent to the stream/creek has been cleared of dead wood and debris and has been maintained annually with mowing as well.

After Mr. Tavera spent some considerable time educating the committee and Mr. Mason hydraulic and flooding questions, Mr. Mason asked if a larger span bridge or box culverts could be considered when replacing the bridge to which Mr. Kressin and Mr. De Angelis confirmed that proper engineering would be conducted before any replacement would be done.

Committee members inquired about the time frame of replacement and current condition of the bridge. Mr. De Angelis confirmed that there is funding be set aside for engineering in the near future but replacement would be dependent upon funding and due to the small repairs that have been done to the bridge, the rating has improved and thus makes it more difficult to receive funding from the state bridge programs.

Mr. Kressin requested that Mr. Tavera review and verify the FEMA FIRM modeling is the latest and/or what is represented on the FIRM, review with the County and see if their hydraulic model is different from the FIRM, and run a model for the future bridge as single box culvert (or clear span) or double box culvert and what impact it may have on the floodplain.

6. Update on municipal water.
No updates were available at this time.

7. Update on Terrace Drive issues.
Mr. Paul informed the committee that the DPW crews had begun work on the restoration of the rough grade work that was done last fall. Due to the content of groundwater, the DPW crews were going to install a small diameter low flow pipe to dry up the area and try and collect the water in a catch basin. Once this area has been stabilized connection of some sump lines would be done to remove further water from the street.

Terrace Dr and the cul de sac are scheduled for repaving this year as well.

8. Review and possible recommendation of 2020 Paving Bid
Mr. Paul presented the bid tabulation of the 2020 Paving Bid results to the Committee and highlighted the low bidder this year was Stark Pavement Corporation.
The committee reviewed the bid items and Mr. Haas moved to recommend approval of Stark Pavement Corporation’s bid to the Board of Trustees. Mr. Kressin seconded the motion and it passed unanimously 4-0.

9. Review and possible action on Recycle Center Hours adjustment
Mr. Paul explained that due to the recent adjustment of the Recycle Center hours of operations, the current/new hours have been very advantageous and well received by many residents as they allow for better safety measures with limiting contact with DPW staff whom are also first responders, as well as safety concerns with daily operations of DPW projects and residents in the DPW yard. Mr. Paul also pointed out an opportunity to adjust the hours of operation during October and November. After 7 years of tracking volume data it would be best to start the 7 day weekly schedule on October 15th, vs. Oct. 1 since there is such low volume and leaves have often times yet to fall or be cleaned up. The changes included changing the standard April-September Tuesday and Thursday hours from 1-6 pm to 3:30-7:00 pm. additionally, fall leaf hours will start October 15th instead of October 1st. The committee agreed that those hours would work. Mr. Kressin urged staff to communicate the change of hours of operation with more than just the website, to which Mr. Paul informed him of the fact that there will be new signage and also publication of the new hours in the recreation guide and other media. Mr. Kressin also asked about opening one day in January which would be revisited at a later date.

10. Review and possible action on request for additional road line striping
Mr. Paul explained that a resident requested additional line striping on Gremoor, Legion and Hollyhock to assist more elderly drivers navigating the Village streets at night. Mr. Paul presented the costs associated with the striping for review and the current budget for this work. The committee unanimously agreed that this striping was not necessary and did not want to add it to the scope of striping. Mr. Kressin added that with the roads already being 20' wide, it would make it even more difficult to keep motorists in their lane.

11. Update on Public Works Projects
Mr. De Angelis added that quotes from the Underwood Creek bank stabilization were not included with this meeting but will be ready for review at the next meeting. Mr. De Angelis also noted that there will be a Pre-construction meeting for the North Ave Project on Tuesday that he and Mr. Paul will attend via Zoom. The focused limits of the project in 2020 were going to be between Calhoun Rd and Marilyn Dr. The winning bid was from Patterson Construction Company at $21.14 million.

12. Other business
Mr. Coons stated he would like to continue the PWUC meetings via Zoom moving forward until such time we find a safe new normal. The committee generally agreed.

Mr. Coons moved and Mr. Harley seconded to adjourn. Motion carried 4-0. Meeting adjourned at 8:20 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Richard Paul, Jr., Public Works Director