

If you could pass along the concern that such large density would ruin the charm of the downtown. There has not been any work done to accommodate all the extra traffic. With apartment housing there would likely have be at least two cars per unit if not more.

It is also concerning that the water remediation would not be required to be done based on how they are structuring the corporation. It may be legal but it does not speak to the safety of the community and the surrounding homes. It does not speak to the additional costs of fire and police with such a large density in a small space. The residents would not be paying property taxes and would not be vested in the village.

It seems as if they are trying to find ways to devalue the village. Elm Grove was voted the number one suburb in the US. This type of building could forever change that environment and could irreparably harm the village and its image.

I am very surprised our village manager and trustees have allowed it to even have come to this. It is not a positive thing for the village of Elm Grove.

I know that Mr. Wangard claims to be interested in the village, but this does not appear to be true. This seems to be a business deal not something that will improve the village.

Meg Shannon-Stone

1760 Arrowhead Ct