

**BUILDING BOARD
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, April 17, 2018**

Meeting was called to order at 5:30 p.m. by Mr. Olson.

1. Roll Call.

Present: Mr. Olson, Mr. Schoenecker, Mr. Koleski, Mr. Janusz, Mr. Liechty, Mr. Collins, and Ms. Steindorf

Absent: Mr. Domaszek and Mr. Matola

Also Present: Mr. Harrigan, Ms. Nelson, Applicants, and members of the public

Ms. Steindorf arrived at 5:31 p.m.

2. Review and act on meeting minutes dated April 4, 2018.

Mr. Liechty noted that Mr. Collins was not present at the last meeting and should be listed under absent.

Mr. Collins stated that under item three, on page two, in the first sentence of the fourth paragraph, the word "is" should be changed to "if."

Mr. Schoenecker stated that under item three, on page two, in the sixth paragraph, the word "no" should be changed to "not."

Mr. Schoenecker stated that under item three, on page two, in the ninth paragraph, the word "asked" should be inserted before the word "what."

Mr. Liechty stated that under item four, on page three, the first sentence in the seventh paragraph should be changed to "Mr. Twardy stated the issue of creating a landing for the door is that the western property line is only about 2' 6" from the door making it difficult to construct steps in this location."

Mr. Collins stated that under item five, on page five, in the second to last paragraph, the word "crats" should be changed to "crafts."

Mr. Liechty stated that under item seven, in the second sentence, the words "stand out" should be changed to "project in front of the entry door."

Mr. Schoenecker stated that under item seven, on page six, in the eighth and ninth paragraphs, the word "chimney" should come before "additions," not after.

Mr. Schoenecker motioned and Mr. Liechty seconded to approve the meeting minutes as amended. Motioned carried 7-0.

3. Consideration, public hearing, and action on a fence variance request by Michael and Shelly Hansen at 12520 Stephen Place.

Applicants were present before the board.

Mr. Olson opened the public hearing at 5:34 p.m.

No public comments.

Mr. Olson closed the public hearing at 5:34 p.m.

Mr. Schoenecker confirmed that the existing fence is to be replaced with a new fence. Applicant said this was correct.

Mr. Schoenecker asked if the material would be sealed. Applicant said that the wood will be left its natural color but they would possibly seal it.

Mr. Liechty motioned and Mr. Schoenecker seconded to approve the plans as submitted. Motion carried 7-0.

4. Review and act on a request by Tom and Laurie Mulhaney at 1065 Woodland Avenue for a revision to a previously approved building plan.

Applicants were present before the board.

Mr. Liechty asked if the siding was horizontal or shake. Applicant stated that it will be horizontal siding and that the cost was too high for shake.

Applicant stated that they would also like to change the garage door to more of a carriage style door.

Mr. Schoenecker asked if the garage door would be wood or metal. Applicant stated that it would be steel but would have a wood look to match the house.

Mr. Liechty stated that the upper lites on the garage door would look nice.

Mr. Schoenecker motioned and Ms. Steindorf seconded to approve the plans with the notation that the applicants may change to a carriage style garage door. Motion carried 7-0.

5. Review and act on a request by John Fitzgerald, Jr. at 1800 Pilgrim Parkway for a shed.

Applicant was present before the board.

Mr. Schoenecker asked if the applicant had checked with the power company to determine how close the shed could be to the poles. Applicant stated that WE Energies told him that it could not be closer than five feet. Applicant stated that his shed would

be no closer than six feet to the utility poles but will probably be more like eight or nine feet away.

Mr. Schoenecker asked about the type of material and if this was a pre-fabricated shed. Applicant stated that it is not pre-fabricated and presented a sample panel of the siding material.

Mr. Schoenecker stated that when approving accessory structures, the board wants to see the shed match as closely as possible to the style of the home. Applicant stated that the color will be almost identical to the home and that the material is paintable so they could change the color to match as well.

Mr. Koleski noted that the cable broadcast was currently down.

Applicant stated that the snow load rating of the shed was higher than what is required by code and the wind rating is at 130 mph. Applicant stated that it is a metal skeleton with the plastic outer layer bolted to it.

Mr. Liechty asked if the shed would be on a concrete slab. Applicant said yes.

Mr. Liechty asked about the roof material. Applicant stated that it is a simulated black shingle and will match the roof of the house. Applicant also stated that he plans to put shutters on the shed and will plant arborvitae around it.

Mr. Liechty asked if they will be adding any electricity to the shed. Applicant said no.

Mr. Liechty asked if the panels were the same color all the way through. Applicant said yes.

Mr. Koleski asked if the windows were plastic. Applicant said that they will be shatter resistant plexi-glass and will be openable.

Mr. Koleski stated that he felt the windows looked out of proportion. Applicant stated that they look much smaller on the rendering but he believes they will be 24" by 28" and with the shutters they will look larger.

Mr. Liechty asked if there will be active vents on the front and back of the shed. Applicant said yes.

Mr. Schoenecker motioned to approve the plans as submitted.

Mr. Koleski stated that he would like to see the windows. Applicant presented a sample picture of the windows and shutters.

Mr. Olson stated that the board has had pre-fabricated sheds come before them previously and that there were concerns about the material. Mr. Liechty stated that those sheds were more of a Rubbermaid material.

Mr. Olson asked if this was a prohibited material. Mr. Harrigan said no.

Mr. Collins asked about the second option the applicant had proposed. Applicant stated that the second option is a wooden frame shed with T1-11 siding with vertical grooves. Applicant stated that the plastic option one was actually more pleasing to the eye and he can get the siding material closer to the look of the home using the plastic.

Mr. Liechty asked about the Village's restriction on sizes of an accessory structure. Mr. Harrigan stated that it is 150 square feet maximum.

The applicant stated that the concrete pad will be poured slightly larger than the footprint of the shed and that he has already spoken with the building inspector to make sure that was okay.

Ms. Steindorf seconded the motion. Motion carried 7-0.

6. Review and act on a request by Dwayne and Michelle Setzer at 600 Meadow Lane for a new deck.

Applicants were present before the board.

Mr. Schoenecker asked about the color of the material. Applicants stated that it will be tan to match the house.

Mr. Schoenecker asked if they would be leaving the area under the deck open. Applicant said yes, it will be open and they will landscape around and underneath the deck.

Mr. Liechty stated that he did not want the area under the deck to be used as storage. Applicant stated that they will not be storing anything under the deck.

Mr. Liechty asked what the railing would look like. Applicant said it will be azek material and that the corner posts will be larger than the middle posts. Applicant stated that the corner posts might be six by six and the posts in between would be approximately four by four.

Mr. Schoenecker asked if the balusters would be imitation wood. Applicant said yes.

Mr. Liechty asked if the posts would be a darker color. Applicant said no, everything would be the same color.

Ms. Steindorf asked if they had picked an exact color. Applicant said no, but that it will be in the tan family.

Mr. Liechty motioned and Mr. Schoenecker seconded to approve the plans as submitted. Motion carried 7-0.

7. Review and act on a request by John Ivans at 1620 Woodside Lane for a shed.

Applicant was present before the board.

Mr. Olson asked if the applicant is building the shed himself. Applicant stated that he will be hiring a contractor to build it.

Mr. Schoenecker asked how the applicant plans to install the concrete slab on a slope. Applicant stated that he does not have an exact plan yet, but he assumes that the contractor will need to level that area out or pour thicker concrete to make sure it's level.

Mr. Liechty stated that the elevation change looks to be approximately eight inches on the back side and stated that the applicant will want to make sure to keep the shed high enough so that water does not drain into it.

Mr. Liechty stated that he was concerned about the design of the shed and that it is not consistent with the design of the house.

Mr. Liechty asked if the roof and color of the shed would match the home. Applicant said yes and that the windows on the shed would be white vinyl. Applicant stated that the corner board and cross buck design on the door would be white. Applicant also stated that he is considering adding gutters.

Ms. Steindorf stated that to keep the design consistent she feels the siding should be horizontal.

Mr. Liechty agreed and also stated that he was concerned that the fascia board near the roof was too narrow.

Mr. Liechty asked if the applicant would be willing to table the item and come back at a later meeting to present a different design option that more relates to the design of the home.

Applicant stated that he understood the board's concerns and asked if a plastic shed with horizontal siding would be better than this solid wood option that he is requesting. Mr. Liechty stated that if a shed is a prefab design without durable material, then no, that would not be better. He stated that the shed approved earlier in the meeting was a more durable option than those premade sheds.

Mr. Liechty asked if there would be any electricity to the shed. Applicant said no.

Applicant requested that the item be tabled to a later meeting.

Mr. Liechty motioned and Mr. Schoenecker seconded to table the item per the applicant's request. Motion carried 7-0.

8. Review and act on a request by Travis Tuttle at 955 Grandview Drive for a home alteration.

Applicant was present before the board along with Jim Mella, the architect, and Justin Donohue, the builder.

Mr. Shoenecker asked the brick will be painted. Mr. Donohue said yes.

Mr. Schoenecker asked about the colors on the upper level. Mr. Donohue stated that the base of the home will be a blue/gray color and the trim and brick accents will be tan.

Mr. Schoenecker asked if they will be installing a new front door. Mr. Donohue said yes, and they will also install a new garage door.

Mr. Schoenecker asked about the porch railing. Mr. Donohue said that they will be square. Mr. Schoenecker asked if they would be solid or fluted. Mr. Donohue said fluted.

Mr. Schoenecker asked what color the railing will be. Mr. Donohue said that they will be natural wood and the corner braces will be natural wood as well.

Mr. Liechty asked if the brackets on the front porch are natural wood. Mr. Donohue said yes.

Mr. Liechty stated that the style of the brackets seems about of character for the home. Mr. Donohue stated that they could possibly arch the brackets.

Mr. Koleski asked if they are structural. Mr. Mella said no, the brackets are decorative.

Mr. Liechty stated that on the rear elevation, he would like it if the design of that gable matched all of the other gables on the home. Mr. Donohue stated that starting from the top of the roof addition and they could make everything above that the same shake material used on the other gables.

Mr. Tuttle stated that he liked that suggestion a lot and would like to make that change.

Mr. Liechty asked about the ceiling underneath the front porch. Mr. Mella stated that there is a vault ridge behind the arched trim board. Mr. Donohue added that there will be can lights in the porch ceiling with the possibility of a chandelier but that all the lighting will be downward facing.

Ms. Steindorf asked about the color of the roof. Mr. Donohue said that it will be black dimensional shingles.

Mr. Liechty asked if there will be brackets under the existing projection over the garage door. Mr. Mella said no and that it is a very shallow overhang.

Mr. Schoenecker asked if they are adding two egress windows. Mr. Donohue said yes.

Mr. Koleski asked about downspouts. Mr. Mella stated that they haven't determined the exact locations of the downspouts at this time.

Ms. Steindorf also expressed concern about the proposed design of the brackets.

Mr. Mella stated that they could change the design of the brackets to a curve or an "S" shape.

Mr. Olson stated that a curved bracket, like an elongated "C," would probably look the best.

Mr. Liechty asked if the overhead garage door would be wood. Mr. Mella said that it will be a steel door with a wood look.

Mr. Olson showed the applicants the changes he made to the plans, including the bracket design and adding shake to the gable on the rear elevation. Applicant initialed the drawings indicating that he will be making those changes.

Mr. Schoenecker motioned and Mr. Liechty seconded to approve the plans with the condition that the brackets on the home will be changed to an arch shape and that the applicant will add shake to the rear gable of the home. Motion carried 7-0.

Mr. Tuttle added that Mr. Harrigan was "awesome" to work with.

9. Other business

None

10. Adjournment

Mr. Liechty motioned and Mr. Schoenecker seconded to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried 7-0.

Meeting adjourned at 6:35 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Carey Nelson
Administrative Assistant